29TH COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION - MAY 2014

CASE	#	1

(a) Undertaking of 5% price reduction:

dertaking of 5% price reduction:	Rs./ per Unit					
	Before 5% Price Reduction	After 5% Price Reduction				
Sales revenue	40,000	38,000	1/2 + 1/2			
Variable costs:						
Compressor	7,000	7,000	1/2 + 1/2			
Other direct materials	3,700	3,700	1/2 + 1/2			
Direct labor	3,000	3,000	1/2 + 1/2			
Variable overhead	4,500	4,500	1/2 + 1/2			
Variable selling	1,800	1,800	1/2 + 1/2			
Total variable costs	20,000	20,000	1/2 + 1/2			
Contribution margin	20,000	18,000	1/2 + 1/2			

Contribution margin:	Rs. '000'	
Before 5% price reduction (20,000 x 18,750)	375,000	1
After 5% price reduction (18,000 x 21,750)	391,500	1
Increase in contribution margin	16,500	1

Decision:

Yes, AC Division should undertake the 5% price reduction on its air conditioner units because contribution margin would increase by Rs.16,500,000.

(b) Supply of Compressors to AC Division:

Supply of Compressors to AC Division:		Rs./ per Unit
	Outside Sales	Sales to AC Div.
Selling price	10,000	5,000
Variable costs:		
Direct materials	1,200	1,050
Direct labour	800	800
Variable overhead	1,000	1,000
Variable selling expenses	600	
Total variable costs	3,600	2,850
Contribution margin	6,400	2,150
ontribution margin:		Rs. in '000'
If Auxiliary Division does not consider to tra Division (80,000 x 6,400)	anster 21,750 units to	512,000.0
If Auxiliary Division transfer 21,750 units to AC	Division (W-1)	507,562.5
Decrease in contribution margin		4,437.5
orkings: W-1:		
If Auxiliary Division transfer 21,750 units to	(21,750 x 2,150)	46,762,500
AC Division	(72,000 x 6,400)	460,800,000
		507,562,500

Marks

DISCLAIMER: The suggested answers provided on and made available through the Institute's website may only be referred, relied upon or treated as a guide and substitute for professional advice. The institute does not take any responsibility about the accuracy, completeness or currency of the information provided in the suggested answers Therefore, the Institute is not liable to attend or receive any comments, observations or critics related to the suggested answers.

1

29TH COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION – MAY 2014

Decision:

No, the Auxiliary Division should not sell all 21,750 units to AC Division for Rs.5,000 each. If the Auxiliary Division does sell all 21,750 units to AC Division, it will only be able to sell 72,000 units to outside customers instead of 80,000 units due to the capacity restrictions. This would decrease Auxiliary Division's contribution margin by Rs.4,437,500. Auxiliary Division would be willing to accept any orders from AC Division above the 80,000 unit level at Rs.5,000 per unit because there would be a positive contribution margin of Rs.2,150 per unit.

(c) Best interest to supply the compressors:

st interest to supply the compressors:		Rs. in '000'	
Contribution margin of AC Division:			
If buying from Auxiliary Division (22,000* x 21,750)	478,500		1/2
If buying from outsider (20,000 x 21,750)	435,000		1/2
Increase in AC Division contribution		43,500	
Contribution margin of Auxiliary Division:			
If supply 21,750 units to AC Division (W-1)	507,562.5		1/2
If does not supply 21,750 units to AC Division (6,400 x 80,000)	512,000		1/2
Decrease in contribution of Auxiliary Division		(4,437.5)	
Net benefit to Zephyr Industries		39,062.5	1
* Contribution margin as coloulated in (a) above Bs. 20,000 + Reduce	daamprosso	r oost 2 000	

* Contribution margin as calculated in (a) above Rs. 20,000 + Reduced compressor cost 2,000.

Decision:

Yes, it would be in the best interests of Zephyr Industries for the Auxiliary Division to sell the units to the AC Division at Rs.5,000 each. The net advantage to Zephyr Industries is Rs.39,062,500.

(d) As the answers to requirements (b) and (c) above show, Rs.5,000 is not a goal-congruent transfer price. Although a transfer is in the best interests of Zephyr Industries as a whole, a transfer of Rs.5,000 will not be perceived by the Auxiliary Division's management as in that division's best interests.

2

1

Marks

1

2 of 3

29TH COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION - MAY 2014

CASE # 2

(a)	New balance sheet under	each al	ternative:						Rs. '0	00'	_
	Alternati						/	Alternativ	/e		_
	_	1 & 2	3				1	2	3		
				Total c	urrent liabiliti	es	3,000	3,000	3,00	00	1
				Long-t	erm debt				10,0	00	1
				Share	capital, par R	ls.10	3,250	3,000	3,00	00	1
				Share	premium		8,750	9,000	9,00	00	1
	-			Retain	ed earnings		1,000	1,000	1,0	00	1
	Total assets	16,000	26,000	Total c	laims		16,000	16,000	26,0	00	1
						OR	2	+ 2	+ 2		= 6
<i>(</i> L.)				1 14							
(b)	Mr. Jawad Ahmed's contro	oi positio			A						-
			Origi		Plan-1		Plan-2		Plan-3		-
	Number of shares		160,0		160,000		160,000		50,000		
	Total shares		200,0		325,000		300,000		00,000		
	Percent ownership			80%	49%		53%	6	539	%	_
					1	+	1	+	1		= 3
(c)	Effect on earnings per sha	ire of ea	ch altern	ative:					Rs. '0	00'	
			Origi	nal	Plan-1		Plan-2	F	Plan-3		
	Total assets		11,0	00	16,000		16,000	2	6,000		-
	EBIT		2,2	00	3,200		3,200		5,200		1+1+1
	Interest		4	00	_		_		800		1/2+1/2+1/2
	EBT		1,8	00	3,200		3,200		4,400		1+1+1
	Taxes (40%)		7.	20	1,280		1,280		1,760		1/2+1/2+1/2
	Net income		1,0	80	1,920		1,920		2,640		1+1+1
	Number of shares (000)		20	00	325		300		300		
	Earning per share		5	.4	5.9		6.4		8.8		1+1+1
				OR	5	+	5	+	5	=	15
(d)	Debt ratio under each alte	rnative:							Rs. '0	00'	
		-	Origi	nal	Plan-1		Plan-2	F	Plan-3		-
	Total debt		8,00		3,000		3,000		3,000		-
	Debt/ assets ratio		-	/3%	19%		19%		50%	,	
					1	+	1	+	1		= 3

(e) Recommendation to Stylish Carpet Company:

Alternative-1 results in loss of control (to 49%) for the firm. Under it, he loses his majority of shares outstanding. Indicated earnings per share increase, and the debt ratio is reduced considerably (by 54% points).

Altervative-2 results in maintaining control (53%) for the firm. Earnings per share increase, while a reduction in the debt ratio like that in Alternative-1 occurs.

Under Altervative-3, there is also maintenance of control (53%) for the firm. This plan results in the highest earnings per share (88 cents), which is an increase of 63% on the original earnings per share. The debt ratio is reduced to 50%.

THE END			
DISCLAIMER:	The suggested answers provided on and made available through the Institute's website may only be referred, relied upon or treated as a guide and substitute for professional advice. The Institute does not take any responsibility about the accuracy, completeness or currency of the information provided in the suggested answers.		
	Therefore, the Institute is not liable to attend or receive any comments, observations or critics related to the suggested answers.		

Marks

1

1

1