Q. 2 (a)	Compani	es in the same	e industry		Marks
u. 2 (u)	Compani	oo iii tiio oaiiit	o madon y	Rs.	
		SIK	IGG	BNI	
	Revenues	4,000,000	2,000,000	40,000,000	01
	Income	4,00,000	200,000	200,000	01
	Investment	2,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	01
	Income as % of revenues	10%	10%	0.5%	0.5+0.5
	Investment turn over	2	0.1	2	0.5+0.5
	Return on investment(ROI)	20%	1%	1%	0.5+0.5

## Comment on the relative performance:

## Comparison of SIK and IGG:

Company IGG does as well as Company SIK in terms of income margin, for both companies earn 10% on revenues. But Company IGG has a much lower turnover of investment than does Company SIK. Whereas a rupee of investment in Company SIK supports two rupees in revenue each period, a rupee investment in Company IGG supports only ten paisas in revenue each period. This suggests that the analyst should took carefully at Company IGG's investment. Is the company keeping an inventory larger than necessary for its revenue level? Are receivable being collected promptly? Or did Company SIK acquire its fixed assets at a price level that was much lower that at Company IGG purchased its plant?

## Comparison of SIK and BNI:

01

01

On the other hand, BNI's investment turnover is as high as SIK's, but BNI's income as a percentage of revenue is much lower. Why? Are its operations inefficient, are its material costs high, or does its location entail high transportation costs?

#### Comparison of IGG and BNI:

The companies IGG and BNI have identical income and investment may suggest that the same conditions underlie the low Return on Investment. IGG has higher margins but a lower investment turnover. BNI has very small margins (1/20th of IGG) but turns over investment 20 times faster.

01

In Company IGG's case, it is apparent that the emphasis will have to be on increasing turnover by reducing investment or increasing revenues. In contrast, Company BNI's management should concentrate on increasing the present of income on revenue.

(b) (i)

			Rs.
	<b>Activity Level</b>	Qty Produced	<b>Total Cost</b>
	High	8,000	60,000
	Low	2,000	30,000
	Difference	6,000	30,000
Variable cos	ot per unit = -	Difference In Total Cost Difference is quantity	$=\frac{30,000}{6,000}$
			= Rs.5 per u

01

01

(ii)

	Rs.
Total cost for 8,000 units	60,000
Variable cost for 8,000 units (8,000 x 5)	_(40,000)_
Fixed cost	20,000

02

02

## **COST ACCOUNTING - SEMESTER -2**

	Marks
(iii) Cost – Function = Rs. 20,000 + 5x	02

(iv) Total cost for 15,000 units = 20,000 + 5(15,000) = Rs. 95,000

Journal Entries

		Rs.	
FOH Control A/C A/P – for Exp. (To record actual FOH)	3,200,000	3,200,000	01
Work In Process Applied FOH (To record Applied FOH)	3,000,000	3,000,000	01
Applied FOH Under absorbed FOH FOH control (To record Under absorbed FOH)	3,000,000 200,000	3,200,000	01
Cost of goods sold Under absorbed overhead (To close under absorbed overhead)	200,000	200,000	01

(b)

Q. 3 (a)

(1) 
$$EOQ = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times (1000 \times 280) \times 50}{2}} = \sqrt{14,000,000} = 3,742 units$$

(2)	Maximum use per day	1200	unit	0.5
	Normal use per day	1000		0.5
	Safety stock (maximum)	200	units x 3 days of lead time = 600 units	1

(3)	Normal use per day (1000) x days of lead time (3)	3,000	units	0.5
	Safety stock	600		0.5
	Order point	3,600	units	1

(4)	Order point Less: Normal use during lead time (1000 x 3)	3,600 3,000	units	
	On hand at time order received	600	units	1
	Add : Economic Order Quantity	3,742		0.5
	Normal maximum inventory	4,342	units	0.5

(5)	Economic order quantity ÷ 2	1,871	units	0.5
	Safety stock	600		0.5
	Average inventory assuming lead time and usage	2 471	units	1

## (c) (i) Blanket Overhead Rate:

It is a single factory overhead rate used throughout the factory for all jobs and units of output irrespective of departments in which they were produced.

**Marks** 

02

0.5 0.5 0.5

01

0.5 0.5 0.5

04

#### (ii) Residual Income:

It is the excess of net income over the return required on investment. It is used as a basis for performance measurement of an investment centre.

Q. 4 (a) (i)

Total production	1,200,000 gallons	
Chemical 1 (1,200,000 x 5/12)	500,000	0.5
Chemical 2 (1,200,000 x 4/12)	400,000	0.5
Chemical 3 (1,200,000 x 3/12)	<u>300,000</u>	0.5
	1.200.000	

Joint cost allocation

Product	Qty produced	Sale price at Split off (Rs)	Total Sales Value (Rs)	Joint Cost Allocation (Rs)
Chemical 1	500,000	40.00	20,000,000	13,636,364
Chemical 2	400,000	30.00	12,000,000	8,181,818
Chemical 3	300,000	40.00	12,000,000	8,181,818
			44,000,000	30,000,000

Joint cost as % of market value = 
$$\frac{\text{Joint cost}}{\text{Market value}} \times 100 = \frac{30,000,000}{44,000,000} \times 100$$

= 68.1818%

(ii) Joint cost allocation on the basis of net realizable value.

Product	Qty Produced	Final Sales Price	Final Sales value	Additional Process cost	Net realizable value	
Chemical 1	500,000	50.00	25,000,000	1,500,000	23,500,000	0.5
Chemical 2	400,000	35.00	14,000,000	1,000,000	13,000,000	0.5
Chemical 3	300,000	30.00	9,000,000	500,000	8,500,000	0.5
Total	1,200,000		48,000,000	3,000,000	45,000,000	

Joint cost – as % of net realizable value =  $\frac{\text{Joint cost}}{\text{NRV}}$  x 100 30m/ 45 m x 100=66.67% **01** 

Joint Cost Allocation:

U <u>II.</u>	
Chemical 1 (1,200,000 x 5/12)	15,666,667
Chemical 2 (1,200,000 x 4/12)	8,666,666
Chemical 3 (1,200,000 x 3/12)	5,666,667
	30,000,000

# (b) (i) Treatment of by product: (any four points 1 mark for each)

A joint production cost is not allocated to the by product. Any revenue resulting from sales of the by product is credited either to income or to cost of the main product. In some cases, costs subsequent to split-off may be offset against the by-product revenue. For inventory costing, an independent value may be assigned to the by product. The methods most commonly used in industry are:

Method 1. Revenue from sales of the by product is listed on the income statement as:

- (i) Other income.
- (ii) Additional sales revenue.
- (iii) A deduction from the cost of goods sold of the main product.

**Marks** 

- (iv) A deduction from the total manufacturing cost of the main product.
- Method 2. Revenue from sales of the by product less the costs of placing the by product on the market (marketing and administrative expenses) and less any additional processing cost of the by product is shown on the income statement in a manner similar to that indicated in Method 1.

Method 3. The replacement cost method.

## (ii) Possible reasons for High Labour Turnover : (any four points 0.5 mark for each)

02

Possible reasons for high labour turnover are as follows:

- (i) poor conditions of work
- (ii) poor management
- (iii) bad initial selection
- (iv) dissatisfaction over pay and advancement
- (v) redundancy
- (vi) move from locality
- (vii) lack of training
- (viii) lack of career structure, etc.

#### Cost of Labour Turnover: (any three points 1 mark for each)

03

These costs can be substantial, yet to some extent are avoidable through enlightened personnel policies and good management. The costs arise in the following areas:

- (i) Leaving costs, i.e., interviews, preparation of documentation, disruption of output.
- (ii) Replacement costs, i.e., advertising, selection, personnel department procedures.
- (iii) Training costs, i.e., costs of required internal and external courses.
- (iv) Learning costs, i.e., slower initial production, increased scrap, tool breakages, increased accident rate, poorer service.

## Q. 5 (a)

## (i) Number of Miles:

Travelling of coach	650	miles per week	
Number of weeks per year	40	weeks	
Total Number of miles- per coach	26,000		01
Total number of miles -all coaches			
(26,000x20)	520,000		01

#### (ii) Number of Gallons:

Fuel consumption	16	miles per gallon	
Total number of gallons consumed- per coach	1,625		01
Total number of gallons consumed- all	00.500		0.4
coaches	32,500		01

Marks

# (iii) 35 Seater Coach

		Total		
		Coaches	Per Coach	_
	Number of Miles	520,000	26,000	
	Gallons of fuel	32,500	1,625	
		Rs.	Rs.	
	Cost of fuel	6,825,000	341,250	0.5+0.5
	Driver's wages 42 x 1500	1,260,000	63,000	0.5+0.5
	Insurance	50,000	2,500	0.5+0.5
	Repairs and maintenance	13,000,000	650,000	0.5+0.5
	Admin expenses	35,000	1,750	0.5+0.5
	Depreciation	1,000,000	50,000	0.5+0.5
		22,170,000	1,108,500	01+01
iv)	Cost per mile:			

# (iv)

Cost per mile = 
$$\frac{1,108,500}{26,000}$$
 = **42.6346**

# (v) Calculation of Depreciation of each coach

Cost of Coach	900,000		
Salvage value	(250,000)		
	650,000		01
Number of years	13		
Depreciation per year for each coach	650,000 =	50.000	01
	13	,	

# (b) Calculation of tender price:

	35 Seater Coach	
Passenger miles per week (5x12)	60	0.5
Miles per year (60x50)	3,000	0.5
Cost per mile	42.6346	0.5
Cost per coach	127,904	0.5
Total Coaches required	5_	
Total cost	639,520	01
Profit (30% of selling price)*	274,080	01
Tender Price	913,600	01

This gives a total contract price of Rs.913,600

<sup>\*</sup> A profit of 30% of selling price means that costs are 70% of selling price.

					ı	Marks
Q. 6	(a)				Rs.	
			I-	Actual Output:		
				Total direct-labor cost	180,000	
				Adjust for variances:		
				Labor rate variance	20,000	0.5
				Labor efficiency variance	(40,000)	0.5
				Total standard labour cost	200,000	01
				Actual output (total standard cost / unit standard cost) 200,000 / 200 =	1,000 units	02
			II-	Actual Hours Worked:		
				Total direct-labor cost	Rs. 180,000	0.1
				Less Labor rate variance	(20,000)	01
				Standard labor cost for actual hours worked	160,000	01
				Standard Labor rate per hour	Rs. 50	01
				Actual hours worked	3,200	02
			III-	Average Actual Wage Rate Per Hour:		
				Average actual wage rate per hour (180,000 / 3,200)=	Rs. 56.25	01
			IV-	Actual Number of Kilogram Purchased and Used:		
				Number of Kilograms purchased & used standard	Rs. 10	
				purchase price of material= Actual purchase price	Rs. 11	
				Unfavorable price variance per Kg	Rs. 1	01
				Unfavorable price variance	Rs. 20,000	01
				Material purchased & used	20,000 KG	01
	(b)	The	causes	s for material price variance could be many:		
				orable variance may indicate that:		
			• The	purchasing department was efficient to secure a cheaper	source of supply for	01
			the	materials;		
			• It co	ould also be due to the purchase of inferior quality of mate	rials: or	01
			• Ger	nerally declining price of materials in the market.		01
		(ii)	An 'u	nfavorable' variance may be due to:		
			• The	failure of the purchasing department to secure the most a	advantageous source of	
					aramageede eeu ee e.	01
			sup	piy;		UI
			<ul><li>Ger</li></ul>	neral price rise in the market (economic conditions) beyon	d the control of the	
			pur	chasing;		01
			-		har ar additional frainkt	
				chase of materials at short notice resulting in incurring hig	_	
			and	handling charges and sometimes even paying higher price	e for the materials due	01
			to a	bad stock management by the stores department and / or	r inability of the sales/	
				keting department to predict demand accurately etc.	,	
			ıııdı	noting department to predict demand accurately etc.		